

AGENDA

FOR TREE MANAGEMENT APPEALS SUB COMMITTEE MEETING TO BE HELD ON

9 MAY 2022 AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE ASSET MANAGEMENT SUB COMMITTEE MEETING

IN WITTBER AND DR RUBY DAVY ROOMS, SALISBURY COMMUNITY HUB, 34 CHURCH STREET, SALISBURY

MEMBERS

Cr S Reardon (Chairman) Mayor G Aldridge (ex officio) Deputy Mayor, Cr C Buchanan Cr P Jensen (Deputy Chairman) Cr S Ouk

REQUIRED STAFF

Chief Executive Officer, Mr J Harry Acting General Manager City Infrastructure, Mr D Roy Team Leader Urban Built Assets, Mr J Hosking Manager Governance, Mr R Deco

APOLOGIES

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

PRESENTATION OF MINUTES

Presentation of the Minutes of the Tree Management Appeals Sub Committee Meeting held on 11 April 2022.

REPORTS

TMASC1	Future Report	for t	the Tree	Management	Appeals S	bub Committe	e
	(please note the to be listed at the			reports as a re	esult of a Co	uncil resolutio	n
TMASC2	Tree Removal I	Requests	s - Monthl	y Update for M	arch 2022	•••••	5
TMASC3	Review of Tree	Remov	al Request	- Various Loc	ations		13

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

There are no Questions on Notice

MOTIONS ON NOTICE

There are no Motions on Notice

OTHER BUSINESS

(Questions Without Notice, Motions Without Notice, CEO Update)

CLOSE



MINUTES OF TREE MANAGEMENT APPEALS SUB COMMITTEE MEETING HELD IN WITTBER AND DR RUBY DAVY ROOMS, SALISBURY COMMUNITY HUB, 34 CHURCH STREET, SALISBURY ON

11 APRIL 2022

MEMBERS PRESENT Cr S Reardon (Chairman)

Mayor G Aldridge (ex officio) Cr P Jensen (Deputy Chairman)

Cr S Ouk

OBSERVERS Nil

STAFF Chief Executive Officer, Mr J Harry

General Manager City Infrastructure, Mr J Devine

Manager Governance, Mr R Deco

Team Leader Natural Assets, Mr C Johansen

Team Leader Council Governance, Ms J O'Keefe-Craig PA to General Manager Business Excellence, Ms H Berrisford

PA to General Manager City Infrastructure, Ms H Prasad

The meeting commenced at 6.46pm.

The Chairman welcomed the members, staff and the gallery to the meeting.

APOLOGIES

An apology was received from Deputy Mayor, Cr C Buchanan.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Nil

PRESENTATION OF MINUTES

Moved Cr P Jensen Seconded Cr S Ouk

The Minutes of the Tree Management Appeals Sub Committee Meeting held on 15 March 2022, be taken as read and confirmed.

CARRIED

REPORTS

TMASC2 Tree Removal Requests - Monthly Update for February 2022

Moved Cr P Jensen Seconded Cr S Ouk

That Council:

1. Notes the report.

CARRIED

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

There were no Questions on Notice.

QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

There were no Questions Without Notice.

MOTIONS ON NOTICE

There were no Motions on Notice.

MOTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

There were no Motions Without Notice.

OTHER BUSINESS

Nil.

CLOSE

The meeting closed at 6.49pm.

CHAIRMAN	
DATE	

INFORMATION

ONLY

ITEM TMASC2

TREE MANAGEMENT APPEALS SUB COMMITTEE

DATE 09 May 2022

HEADING Tree Removal Requests - Monthly Update for March 2022

AUTHOR Jamie Hosking, Team Leader Urban Built Assets, City

Infrastructure

CITY PLAN LINKS 1.1 Our City is attractive and well maintained

4.1 Members of our community receive an exceptional

experience when interacting with Council

SUMMARY This monthly report provides Elected Members with updates on

tree removal requests received from residents.

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

1. Notes the report.

ATTACHMENTS

This document should be read in conjunction with the following attachments:

1. Tree Assessments March 2022

1. BACKGROUND

1.1 At its meeting held on Tuesday, 27 April 2021 Council resolved:

"That a standing report be established for every meeting of the Tree Management Appeals Sub Committee to inform Council of every application received for tree removal and the outcome of that request."

Resolution Number 0916/2021

1.2 Staff currently upload a monthly tree removal request information table to the Elected Members Portal. This document has been adapted to provide further information and will now be reported to each meeting of the Tree Management Appeals Sub Committee.

2. CONSULTATION / COMMUNICATION

- 2.2 External
 - 2.2.1 Various relevant Residents

3. REPORT

- 3.1 The attached tables are a summary of requests for tree removals received and actioned by staff during the past months and has been provided on the Elected Member Portal for March 2022.
- 3.2 One hundred and seventeen (117) tree removal requests were received in March. Of these requests eighty eight (88) were approved for removal including twenty-one (21) significant or regulated trees approved through development applications. Thirty-nine (39) requests were refused. Of these, fifteen (15) are related to significant or regulated trees under the *Planning Development and Infrastructure Act* 2016.
- 3.3 Tree removal requests often result in ongoing dialogue between the owner of the property and Council on the proposed tree removal and subsequent discussions around the species type and location of the new street tree.
- 3.4 It is important to note that through various annual programs Council plants 2,000 trees each year. These programs include Street Tree Renewal Program, In-fill Planting Program, Tree Screen Renewal Program, Reserve Upgrade Program, Feature Landscape Renewal Program, Greening Program, School Tree Planting Program, Major Projects and ad-hoc planting requests. These tree renewal programs are cognizant of regulated, significant trees or those forming habitat corridors.

4. CONCLUSION / PROPOSAL

4.1 It is proposed that the information contained in the report be noted.

MONTH: March 2022

Assessed by Parks and Open Space Assets team on site and removed based on Councils Tree Removal Criteria adopted by Council April 2016

adopted by Counci	ADDRESS	DATE	REFERENCE	APP/REF
	ADDRESS	DAIL	REFERENCE	ALLINCE
Brahma Lodge	15 The Strand - Regulated - nearest drive	9/03/22	CRM 391495	Refused - Regulated
Brahma Lodge	15 The Strand - southern tree - furthest from drive	9/03/22	CRM 391495	Approved
Brahma Lodge	80 Frost Road - 2 trees at front - olive & almond	9/03/22	CRM 390536	Approved x 2
Brahma Lodge	side 80 Frost Road - Mortess Street - 1 tree	9/03/22	CRM 390536	Approved x 1
Burton	28 Atkinson Drive - Significant Tree	3/03/22	CRM 391597	Refused - Significant Tree
Gulfview Heights	rear 18 Lipson Reach Road - Westview Avenue - Four trees	15/03/22	CRM 387535	Approved x 4
Gulfview Heights	13 Rosewall Avenue	31/03/22	CRM 392910	Refused
Ingle Farm	5 Coondoo Avenue - 221 Application - 3 trees - Tree 1, Tree 5 and Tree 6 - DA 21040968 - 3 Allotments	7/03/22	CRM 391491	Approved @ Cost x 3
Ingle Farm	side 5 Coondoo Avenue - Cugera Avenue - Tree 3 - Oleander	7/03/22	CRM 391491	Approved x 1
Ingle Farm	5 Coondoo Avenue - Tree 4 - Ac. Saligna	7/03/22	CRM 391491	Approved x 1
Ingle Farm	42 Schumann Street - Regulated	9/03/22	CRM 389267	D/A Approved - Regulated
Ingle Farm	3 Binderi Crescent - Significant	10/03/22	CRM 390688	D/A Approved - Significant tree
Ingle Farm	36 Wright Road - not regulated - APPEAL - TMASC3 - Tree Appeal - Council Resolution - March 2022 meeting	22/03/22	DW 7216578 CRM 382847	Approved
Ingle Farm	39 Lauder Street - Lot 742 - Tree 2 - DA 22005894 - New Dwelling	23/03/22	DW 7220020	Approved @ Cost
Ingle Farm	4B Aranga Avenue	28/03/22	CRM 392304	Refused
Mawson Lakes	opp 26B Park Way - outside Topcon - failed tree	8/03/22	ETF 303894	Approved x 1 - failed tree
Mawson Lakes	44 Beechwood Avenue	15/03/22	ETF 305386	Approved
Mawson Lakes	Elder Drive Reserve rear 13 MacMillan Avenue	15/03/22	CRM 391003	Approved
Mawson Lakes	32A Lomond Circuit	28/03/22	CRM 391328	Refused
Mawson Lakes	Dry Creek Linear Park rear 60 Harvey Circuit	29/03/22	ETF 305915	Approved x 1
Para Hills	21 Frances Avenue - for SAPN to undertake powerline works	10/03/22	CRM 392899	Approved x 1
Para Hills	29 Mary-Alice - Regulated	9/03/22	CRM 390595	D/A Approved - Regulated Tree
Para Hills	side 6B Carol Drive - Cynthia Drive	30/03/22	CRM 392731	Approved
Para Hills	7 Barkey Street - tree nearest drive	30/03/22	CRM 392087	Approved
Para Hills	7 Barkey Street - tree furthest from drive	30/03/22	CRM 392087	Refused
Para Hills	7 Hinton Avenue - 2 trees	29/03/22	CRM 393234	Approved x 2
Para Hills West	Unit 2 / 55 Beafield Road - Regulated	9/03/22	CRM 389812	D/A Approved - Regulated Tree

MONTH: March 2022

Assessed by Parks and Open Space Assets team on site and removed based on Councils Tree Removal Criteria adopted by Council April 2016

adopted by Council	ADDRESS	DATE	REFERENCE	APP/REF
	ADDICEGO	DAIL	INEI ERENGE	ATTAL
Para Hills West	24 Ramsay Way - TREE APPEAL - tree nearest to # 26	18/03/22	CRM 389257	Approved - TREE APPEAL
Para Hills West	Huxtable Reserve - rear 3 Bunburra Street - Regulated	18/03/22	CRM 389070	D/A Approved - Regulated Tree
Para Hills West	Allen Green rear 17,18,19 Holmeswood Court - 2 trees	15/03/22	CRM 391766	Refused x 2
Para Vista	Bentine Street Reserve side 6 Power Court - dead tree	8/03/22	ETF 303669	Approved x 1 - dead tree
Para Vista	Montague Road Reserve - Significant tree in tree screen - rear 5 Bruce Court	9/03/22	CRM 390234	Approval Supported
Para Vista	Montague Road Reserve - 2 trees in tree screen - rear 5 Bruce Court	9/03/22	CRM 390234	Approved x 2
Para Vista	Montague Road Reserve - Significant tree in tree screen - rear 5 Bruce Court	11/03/22	CRM 390234	D/A Approved - Significant tree
Para Vista	4 Bruce Court - front of letterboxes for Unit Complex	29/03/22	CRM 393906	Approved x 1
Para Vista	3 Bruce Court - 2 Trees	29/03/22	CRM 393906	Approved x 2
Parafield Gardens	side 8 Silkie Court - Birchen Avenue - 1 tree	3/03/22	CRM 390550	Approved x 1
Parafield Gardens	13 Hibiscus Court	7/03/22	CRM 390499	Refused
Parafield Gardens	23 Magnolia Crescent	10/03/22	CRM 392720	Refused
Parafield Gardens	7 Shorney Road - APPEAL - tree furthest from drive	22/03/22	TMASC3 DW 7216578 CRM 386156	Approved x 1 - TMASC3 - March 2022
Parafield Gardens	12 Watkin Street - Regulated	11/03/22	CRM 389769	D/A Approved - Regulated
Parafield Gardens	12 Watkin Street - Regulated	8/03/22	CRM 389769	Approval Supported - Regulated Tree
Parafield Gardens	20 Salas Road	15/03/22	CRM 388480	Approved
Parafield Gardens	22 Salas Road	15/03/22	CRM 388480	Approved
Parafield Gardens	15 Jennifer Terrace	15/03/22	CRM 391368	Refused
Parafield Gardens	32 Saint Alfred Drive	9/03/22	CRM 390774	Approved
Parafield Gardens	4 Ohio Court	29/03/22	CRM 393269	Approved
Parafield Gardens	4 Ohio Court	29/03/22	CRM 393269	Approved
Parafield Gardens	39 Howell Road	30/03/22	CRM 392021	Approved
Parafield Gardens	12 Swallow Crescent	30/03/22	CRM 392818	Approved
Parafield Gardens	23 Hatherleigh Road - Regulated	29/03/22	CRM 392012	Refused - Regulated Tree
Parafield Gardens	19 Quondong Avenue - tree nearest # 21	28/03/22	CRM 392038	Approved
Parafield Gardens	19 Quondong Avenue - central tree	28/03/22	CRM 392038	Refused
Paralowie	8 Woodland Way	4/03/22	CRM 390998	Refused
Paralowie	Miranda Avenue, rear 12-18 St Cora Street - 4 dead trees	10/03/22	CRM 388955	Approved x 4 - dead trees
Paralowie	McQueen Court Reserve opp 30 Hope Drive Regulated Tree	9/03/22	CRM 388973	D/A Approved - Regulated Tree

MONTH: March 2022

Assessed by Parks and Open Space Assets team on site and removed based on Councils Tree Removal Criteria adopted by Council April 2016

adopted by Couric	ADDRESS	DATE	REFERENCE	APP/REF
	ADDITION	DAIL		
Paralowie	side 11 Gable Court - Niven Drive - Regulated tree nearest lightpole & powerbox - next to 8 Niven Drive	9/03/22	CRM 390929	Approval Supported - Regulated Tree
Paralowie	13 Sucre Court - TREE APPEAL - non- regulated tree nearest drive	23/03/22	CRM 388663	Approved x 1 Non-Reg Tree - APPEAL
Paralowie	side 11 Gable Court - Niven Drive - Regulated	23/03/22	CRM 390929	D/A Approved - Regulated
Paralowie	12 Boyaca Court - Regulated - northern tree	8/03/22	CRM 389353	Approval Supported - Regulated Tree
Paralowie	12 Boyaca Court - Regulated - northern tree	10/03/22	CRM 389353	D/A Approved - Regulated Tree
Paralowie	12 Boyaca Court - non-regulated	8/03/22	CRM 389353	Approved
Paralowie	19 Duke Street - 3 trees	29/03/22	CRM 393567	Approved x 3
Paralowie	11 Tintara Road - Regulated	28/03/22	CRM 392663	Refused - Regulated
Paralowie	258 Whites Road	29/03/22	CRM 392282	Approved
Paralowie	49 Martins Road - Significant	17/03/22	CRM 391207	Refused - Significant Tree
Pooraka	Quigley Reserve rear 25 Raffen Court - half dead wattle	8/03/22	CRM 390767	Approved x 1
Pooraka	15 Burns Avenue - APPEAL	22/03/22	CRM 380186	Approved - APPEAL
Pooraka	4 Urawa Avenue	15/03/22	CRM 391933	Approved
Pooraka	8-10 Glover Street - DA 22010466 - 3 New Dwellings - tree front of Res 1	30/03/22	DW 7230269	Approved @ Cost
Pooraka	25 Badcoe Street - Regulated	28/03/22	CRM 392535	Refused - Regulated
Pooraka	84 Bridge Road - nearest to stobie pole	30/03/22	CRM 392805	Approved x 1
Pooraka	side 18 Barakoola Street - Baroona Street	30/03/22	ETF 305714	Approved
Pooraka	12 Cleaver Avenue - Regulated	31/03/22	CRM 392510	Refused - Regulated
Salisbury	Strowan Park - eastern side of creek - adj to Waterloo Cnr Road opp Happy Home Reserve - failed Ac. Pendula	4/03/22	ETF 303934	Approved x 1 - failed Ac. Pendula
Salisbury	8 Ames Road	15/03/22	CRM 392470	Approved
Salisbury Downs	25 Down Crescent - Regulated	3/03/22	CRM 390850	Refused - Regulated
Salisbury Downs	91 Amsterdam Crescent - Regulated - front	4/03/22	CRM 390360	Refused - Regulated
Salisbury Downs	91 Amsterdam Crescent - Regulated - side	4/03/22	CRM 390360	Refused - Regulated
Salisbury Downs	side property 13 Empire Street - property fronts Jolsen Street) - tree on Empire Street adj to rear of property	30/03/22	CRM 394019	Approved

MONTH: March 2022

Assessed by Parks and Open Space Assets team on site and removed based on Councils Tree Removal Criteria adopted by Council April 2016

adopted by Counci				
	ADDRESS	DATE	REFERENCE	APP/REF
Salisbury Downs	side property 13 Empire Street - property fronts Jolsen Street) - tree on Empire Street adj to front corner of property	30/03/22	CRM 394019	Refused
Salisbury Downs	6 Norbury Drive - Significant	31/03/22	CRM 392962	Refused -
Salisbury Downs	o Norbury Drive - Significant	31/03/22	CKIVI 392902	Significant Tree
Salisbury Downs	33 Jolsen Street - Regulated Tree - front	29/03/22	CRM 392608	Refused - Regulated
Salisbury Downs	33 Jolsen Street - Regulated Tree nearest # 31	29/03/22	CRM 392608	Approval Supported - Regulated Tree
Salisbury East	4 Anika Court	3/03/22	CRM 391617	Refused
Salisbury East	6 Welby Avenue - 2 Significant Trees	2/03/22	CRM 390075	Refused x 2 - Significant Trees
Salisbury East	8 Turquoise Drive - 2 trees	9/03/22	CRM 391002	Approved x 2
Salisbury East	side 88 Smith Road - Yale Street - tree next to stobie	28/03/22	CRM 392648	Approved
Salisbury East	7 Rintoul Road - 2 wattles	15/03/22	CRM 390906	Approved x 2
Salisbury East	3 Chess Street	29/03/22	CRM 392873	Refused
Salisbury East	side 27 Buckingham Drive - Gothic Crescent	30/03/22	APPEAL - CRM 387755	Approved x 1 - Review/Appeal
Salisbury Heights	65 Coomurra Drive - Regulated	15/03/22	CRM 391732	Approval Supported - Regulated Tree
Salisbury Heights	31 Morey Drive - Regulated	16/03/22	CRM 389935	D/A Approved - Regulated Tree
Salisbury Heights	21A Taylor Avenue - Lot 501 - DA 22008830 - New Dwelling	23/03/22	DW 7221507	Approved at Cost
Salisbury Heights	1909-1911 Main North Road - Tree 1 - DA 22003937	24/03/22	DW 7221992	Approved @ Cost
Salisbury Heights	1909-1911 Main North Road - Tree 2 - DA 22003937	24/03/22	DW 7221992	Approved @ Cost
Salisbury Heights	1909-1911 Main North Road - Tree 3 - Regulated - DA 22003937	24/03/22	DW 7221992	Approved Supported @ Cost - Regulated
Salisbury Heights	1909-1911 Main North Road - Tree 4 - DA 22003937	24/03/22	DW 7221992	Approved @ Cost
Salisbury Heights	1909-1911 Main North Road - Tree 5 - Ac. Salicina - DA 22003937	24/03/22	DW 7221992	Approved @ Cost
Salisbury Heights	27 Taylor Avenue	15/03/22	CRM 391946	Approved
Salisbury North	Lake Windemere Reserve opp 1 Garrin Street	4/03/22	CRM 391036	Approved
Salisbury North	7 Yulti Road - Regulated	10/03/22	ETF 294427	D/A Approved - Regulated Tree
Salisbury North	38 Kulbina Drive - 2nd tree from drive	9/03/22	CRM 390378	Approved x 1
				_

MONTH: March 2022

Assessed by Parks and Open Space Assets team on site and removed based on Councils Tree Removal Criteria adopted by Council April 2016

ADDRESS		DATE	REFERENCE	APP/REF
Salisbury North	14 Garrin Street - non-regulated - furthest from drive	22/03/22	TMASC3 DW 7216578 CRM 381521	Approved x 1 - non-regulated tree
Salisbury North	14 Garrin Street - Regulated - next to drive	22/03/22	TMASC3 DW 7216578 CRM 381521	Approval Supported x 1 - Regulated Tree
Salisbury North	53 Traverse Avenue - Regulated	28/03/22	CRM 392385	Refused - Regulated
Salisbury North	4 International Avenue	30/03/22	CRM 393939	Approved
Salisbury North	4 Yulti Road - Significant	29/03/22	CRM 391169	Refused - Significant Tree
Salisbury Park	6 Sandy Crescent - Regulated - central tree - APPEAL - TMASC3 - October 2021 meeting	23/03/22	CRM 370039 - TMASC3 D/A - DA 22008820	D/A Approved - Regulated tree - APPEAL
Salisbury Park	6 Sandy Crescent - Regulated - tree nearest no. 4 drive - APPEAL - TMASC3 - October 2021 meeting	23/03/22	CRM 370039 - TMASC3 D/A - DA 22008820	D/A Approved - Regulated tree - APPEAL
Salisbury Park	7B Lorinya Avenue - Tree 1 - DA 22009768 - New Dwelling	28/03/22	DW 7227522	Approved @ Cost
Salisbury Park	7B Lorinya Avenue - Tree 2 - DA 22009768 - New Dwelling	28/03/22	DW 7227522	Approved @ Cost
Salisbury Park	8 Floriston Way	9/03/22	CRM 391195	Approved
Salisbury Park	1 Adrian Lee Court	28/03/22	CRM 391966	Approved x 1
Salisbury South	Nexus - Lot 9 - DA 21037233	24/03/22	DW 7221000	Approved x 7
Valley View	89 Nelson Road	15/03/22	CRM 391481	Approved
Valley View	7 Florence Avenue	29/03/22	CRM 392633	Refused

ITEM TMASC3

TREE MANAGEMENT APPEALS SUB COMMITTEE

DATE 09 May 2022

HEADING Review of Tree Removal Request - Various Locations

AUTHOR Jamie Hosking, Team Leader Urban Built Assets, City

Infrastructure

CITY PLAN LINKS 1.1 Our City is attractive and well maintained

1.2 The health and wellbeing of our community is a priority

2.1 Salisbury has a balance of green spaces and natural

environments that support biodiversity

SUMMARY In line with the approved tree removal procedure several decisions

relating to the retention of trees have been appealed

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

1. Approves the lodgement of a development application seeking removal of:

- a. The regulated *Eucalyptus sideroxylon* in front of 8 McQueen Court, Paralowie, noting that should the application be approved two replacement trees are required to be planted; and
- b. The significant *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* in front of 4 Linda Close, Paralowie, noting that should the application be approved four replacement trees are required to be planted.

ATTACHMENTS

There are no attachments to this report.

1. BACKGROUND

- 1.1 In line with the approved tree removal procedure, residents are able to appeal decisions relating to the retention of trees. This appeal process involves:
 - On-site meeting with residents and Ward Councillors
 - Report to the Tree Management Appeals Sub Committee (TMAS)
 - Notification of outcome to residents

2. CONSULTATION / COMMUNICATION

- 2.1 External
 - 2.1.1 Residents
 - 2.1.2 Ward Councillors in line with the adopted procedures

3. REPORT

3.1 The following appeals have been lodged under the Tree Removal Policy and the residents are seeking removal of the trees.

Street	Suburb	Ward	Trees
8 McQueen Court	Paralowie	Central	1 x Regulated Eucalyptus sideroxylon
4 Linda Close	Paralowie	Para	1 x significant Eucalyptus camaldulensis

3.2 8 McQueen Court Paralowie



Assessment

- 3.2.1 Assessment was undertaken in January 2022, and identified one mature *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* present within the verge in front of the property the health was found to be in good condition with fair density and foliage colour. The structure was found to be good with no structural flaws. The tree was recommended to be retained.
- 3.2.2 The tree was also assessed as part of the street tree program a few years ago and was found to be in good health and structure.
- 3.2.3 Subsequent to the assessment the resident identified that the tree was dropping many small branches, and during high winds in March a large limb failed, falling across the driveway.

3.2.4 The following table shows the results of the initial assessment carried out on the tree against Council's tree removal criteria:

2	The tree is in an unsuitable location and is unreasonably obstructing approved infrastructure The tree is inconsistent with the landscape	No, there is minor disturbance of the footpath and kerb which is within level of service.
	style or character of the local area and/or does not contribute substantially to the landscape or streetscape	
3	The spacing of trees planted on a standard width verge is inconsistent with the "Street Tree Planting Guide" for that species of tree, in accordance with the Streetscape Renewal Policy	No
4	The tree is diseased and has a short life expectancy or is dead and has no significant landscape or habitat value	No
5	The tree is structurally poor and/or poses an unacceptable risk to public or private safety and/or has a history of major limb failure	Yes recent major limb failure
6	The trees roots are shown to be causing or threatening to cause damage exceeding two thousand dollars to adjacent infrastructure	No
7	The trees roots have resulted in damage to Council's kerb or footpath that has required replacement or substantial repair works on more than one occasion within a 5-year period	No
8	The tree is in the location of a first single driveway of a property	No
9	The tree is in the location of an approved Council development	No
10	The tree has been assessed for removal as part of the "Streetscape or Landscape Redevelopment Program"	No
11	The tree, according to a medical specialist or GP, has been determined to be the cause of a detrimental effect on the health of a nearby resident. Such advice must be in writing	No

12	Genuine hardship	No.
	a. The person/resident is receiving HACC or	
	a community care service or;	
	b. The person/resident does not have the	
	functional ability to relieve the nuisance	
	caused by the tree or;	
	c. The person/resident is aged or frail and has	
	moderate, severe or profound disabilities	
	which prevent them from relieving the	
	nuisance caused by the tree; or	
	d. The person/resident is a carer of a person	
	that meets the above criteria.	

Appeal

3.2.5 Following the notification of the limb failure and in discussion with the Ward Councillors it was determined that the tree be presented to TMAS for consideration for the lodgment of a development applicational on-site meeting was arranged with the applicant and Ward Councilors.

3.3 4 Linda Close Paralowie



Assessment

- 3.3.1 A formal assessment was undertaken in March 2021, and identified:
 - 1 significant *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* present within the verge in front of the property. The health was found to be fair with fair density and foliage colour. The structure was found to be fair with no structural flaws. Remedial pruning and canopy reduction works were recommended and subsequently undertaken in May 2021
- 3.3.2 The following table shows the results of the initial assessment carried out on the tree against Council's tree removal criteria:

1	The tree is in an unsuitable location and is unreasonably obstructing approved infrastructure	No.
2	The tree is inconsistent with the landscape style or character of the local area and/or does not contribute substantially to the landscape or streetscape	No
3	The spacing of trees planted on a standard width verge is inconsistent with the "Street Tree Planting Guide" for that species of tree, in accordance with the Streetscape Renewal Policy	No
4	The tree is diseased and has a short life expectancy or is dead and has no significant landscape or habitat value	No
5	The tree is structurally poor and/or poses an unacceptable risk to public or private safety and/or has a history of major limb failure	No
6	The trees roots are shown to be causing or threatening to cause damage exceeding two thousand dollars to adjacent infrastructure	Yes, there is some movement of the footpath and driveway.
7	The trees roots have resulted in damage to Council's kerb or footpath that has required replacement or substantial repair works on more than one occasion within a 5-year period	No
8	The tree is in the location of a first single driveway of a property	No
9	The tree is in the location of an approved Council development	No
10	The tree has been assessed for removal as part of the "Streetscape or Landscape Redevelopment Program"	No

11	The tree, according to a medical specialist or GP, has been determined to be the cause of a detrimental effect on the health of a nearby resident. Such advice must be in writing	Yes, letter from a medical professional has been provided related to the distress
		caused to the residents.
12	 Genuine hardship a. The person/resident is receiving HACC or a community care service or; b. The person/resident does not have the functional ability to relieve the nuisance caused by the tree or; c. The person/resident is aged or frail and has moderate, severe or profound disabilities which prevent them from relieving the nuisance caused by the tree; or d. The person/resident is a carer of a person that meets the above criteria. 	No.

- 3.3.1 The significant trees when considered against the provisions within the *Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016* would be unlikely to meet criteria for removal and a Development Application would not be supported.
- 3.3.2 Desired Outcome Conservation of significant trees to provide aesthetic and environmental benefit and mitigate tree loss

PO1.1	Performance Outcome.	Satisfied	Comments
	Regulated Trees are		
	retained where they		
a)	make an important visual	Yes	Local Character
	contribution to local		The tree is consistent
	character and amenity		with the character of
			the suburb and wider
			Council area and is
			consistent with the
			character of the street.
			Amenity
			The tree provides
			visual amenity to the
			street with a dominant
			healthy canopy. The
			tree also provide
			amenity through
			passive cooling and
			shade over the footpath
			and road.

b)	are indigenous to the local area and listed under the <i>National Parks</i> and Wildlife Act 1972 as a rare or endangered native species	No	The tree is indigenous to the local area but not rare or endangered
c)	provide an important habitat for native fauna	Yes	Obvious nesting hollows aren't evident however given its size it provides canopy and roosting for birds
d)	are part of a wildlife corridor of a remnant area of native vegetation	Yes	It is adjacent the Little Para Linear Park which contains remnant vegetation. The tree itself is unlikely to be remnant
e)	Are important to the maintenance of biodiversity in the local environment	No	There are many <i>E</i> . camalduelsis within the area
f)	Form a notable visual element to the landscape of the local area	Yes	The tree offers high visual amenity, by virtue of its height and position within the road verge, the tree does make an important contribution and is highly notable relative to other established trees within the locality.

PO 1.3 (a)	Performance Outcome. Tree damaging activity is only undertaken to:	Satisfied	Comments
(i)	remove a diseased tree where its life expectancy is short	No	Tree is in good health
(ii)	mitigate an unacceptable risk to public or private safety due to limb drop or the like	No	Tree has no sign of structural issues, there is a long history of pruning and stabilization of the tree which is evident within the canopy.

(iii)	rectify or prevent	No	While there is evidence
, ,	extensive damage to a		of lifting of the
	building of value as		driveway and pavers
	comprising any of the		there appears no visual
	following		evidence of structural
	A. Local Heritage Place		damage to the building
	B. State Heritage Place		and alternate measures
	C. Substantial building		can be undertaken to
	of value		prevent further damage
	and there is no		(root barrier
	reasonable alternative to		installation).
	rectify or prevent such		
	damage other than to		
	undertake a tree		
	damaging activity		
(iv)	reduce an unacceptable	NA	
	hazard associated with a		
	tree within 20 metres of		
	an existing residential,		
	tourist accommodation or		
	other habitable building		
	from bushfire		
(v)	treat disease or otherwise	No	
	in the general interests of		
4.15	the health of the tree		
(vi)	Maintain the aesthetic	No	
	appearance and structural		
	integrity of the tree		

Appeal

- 3.3.3 Following the original assessment further correspondence was received from the Ward Councillors, Deputy Mayor and resident about the impacts caused by the tree on the health and wellbeing and the nuisance caused for the on-going maintenance and upkeep.
- 3.3.4 A desktop assessment was undertaken that identified no substantial change in the condition of the tree from the most recent assessment, however in discussion with the Ward Councillors it was determined to present the tree to TMAS for consideration of lodgment of a development application.

4. CONCLUSION / PROPOSAL

- 4.1 In accordance with the approved tree removal procedure, some decisions relating to the retention of trees have been appealed.
- 4.2 Site meetings have been completed and recommendations are made regarding the tree removal appeals and actions recorded.

Page 20
Tree Management Appeals Sub Committee Agenda - 9 May 2022